

MITRO: an augmented mobile telepresence robot with assisted control

Sjriek Alers, Daan Bloembergen, Daniel Hennes, Max Bügler, and Karl Tuyls

Department of Knowledge Engineering
Maastricht University, P.O. Box 616, 6200 MD Maastricht
email: k.tuyls@maastrichtuniversity.nl,
<http://maastrichtuniversity.nl/swarmlab>

Abstract. In this demo we present MITRO (Maastricht Intelligent Telepresence RObot), a custom-built robot system specifically designed for augmented telepresence with assisted control. Telepresence robots can be deployed in a wide range of application domains, and augmented presence with assisted control can greatly improve the experience for the user. To perceive this, we invite people to engage in a hands-on experience of our MITRO telepresence system.

Keywords: Telepresence, Robotics, Autonomy

1 Introduction

Although the idea of a teleoperated robot for remote presence is not new [4], only recently have so called *telepresence robots* become available to the broader public [2, 5, 6]. The idea of a mobile telepresence robot stems from the inherent limitations imposed by traditional videoconferencing systems, in which interaction is restricted to the meeting room only. Such systems do not allow the user to join the - often important - informal part of meetings generally taking place in hallways and coffee corners. A teleoperated robot can provide means for a mobile teleconferencing system, allowing the user to interact more naturally in the remote office environment.

Various authors have already investigated the use of mobile robots for telepresence. In [6] the authors compare two recently launched commercial products, Anybots' QB and VGo Communications' VGo, with respect to user experience in two scenarios: the scheduled meeting, and the informal hallway meeting. One of their findings is that adding some level of autonomy would enhance the user experience, as it would allow to focus more attention to the conversation and interaction, and less to driving. One possible solution, assisted navigation, is investigated in [5]; the authors conclude that assisted navigation decreases the number of collisions with the environment.

To provide assisted control the robot has to be outfitted with a range of sensors that allow to observe the surroundings and steer clear of obstacles. These

sensors can also be used to provide additional information to the remote user or allow for additional functionality. *Augmented telepresence with assisted control* goes beyond the idea of a teleoperated robot simply equipped with a screen and camera. Mapping and localization functionality are used to provide the remote user with a floor map indicating the current location; the map can be annotated (e.g. room numbers) and information overlaid on the live video feed. Furthermore, the system can autonomously drive back to its charging location after a meeting or wait ready-to-use at a preset location before the meeting commences. People detection and tracking can be used to automatically follow a person to her office; while face tracking allows to follow a conversation without constant steering corrections to keep the conversational partner centered on the screen.

2 Impact

Telepresence robots can be deployed in a wide range of application domains, e.g. in workplaces, the public sector or for home use. We already touched upon the workplace informal meeting scenario and outlined the benefits of assisted control. Telepresence robots are already being used in hospitals to allow doctors and specialists to give consultations from afar. Assisted living facilities outfitted with telepresence systems can provide 24/7 supervision and assistance through remote caregivers. Family members or friends can use the system to pay a virtual visit when time does not allow to be present in person. Telepresence robots can also be used to give people with restricted mobility a new way to outreach and interact beyond their usual living quarters. In all these domains, augmented presence with assisted control can greatly improve the experience for the user.

3 MITRO - Maastricht Intelligent Telepresence RObot

We present a custom-built robot system (see Figure 1) specifically designed for augmented telepresence with assisted control [1]. MITRO is an ongoing research project at the *Swarmlab*¹, the robotics laboratory at the Department of Knowledge Engineering (DKE), Maastricht University. The Swarmlab is embedded within the Robots, Agents and Interactions (RAI) group.

3.1 System specifications

The MITRO platform is based on the Parallax Mobile Robot Base kit², which includes the base plate (\varnothing 46 cm), powerful motors and 6 inch wheels with pneumatic tires, as well as 10 ultrasonic sensors providing an easy and low-cost method for obstacle avoidance. Additionally, a Hokuyo URG-04LX-UG01

¹ For more information visit: <http://maastrichtuniversity.nl/swarmlab>

² The base kit is available at: <http://www.parallax.com>



Fig. 1: Interacting with MITRO.

laser range finder³ is mounted at the front of the base to provide a detailed representation of the environment (240° range; 0.36° resolution; 10 Hz rate, 4m range), used for mapping and localization. A pole is fitted on the base plate and serves as the elevated attachment point for the 14" LCD screen, speakers, two cameras (one pointing forward for conversations, one fish-eye camera pointing downwards for driving), and a Microsoft Kinect sensor⁴. The latter is used for people tracking and obstacle avoidance, and can be used to extract additional features from the environment. The robot has an overall height of 160 cm, the size of a small person, allowing for natural conversation while standing or seated. The system is powered by an Intel 1.8GHz Dual-Core Atom D525 processor; motor speed control and low-level sensor interfacing is handled by an Atmel microprocessor.

3.2 Software

The MITRO project makes use of and contributes to ROS⁵, an open source robot operating system [3]. The modularity and easy extendability of this system makes it an ideal choice for the development of a wide range of robotics applications. ROS fully supports Ubuntu, which makes this the main operating system of choice; however, other operating systems are also (partially) supported. In addition to ROS, MITRO makes use of custom build video conferencing software, which also enables the user to control the robot, and receive status updates. On the client side, only a Java runtime environment and a webcam are required,

³ For more information about the laser visit: <http://www.hokuyo-aut.jp>

⁴ For more information about the Kinect visit: <http://www.xbox.com/kinect>

⁵ For more information on ROS visit: <http://www.ros.org>

making the system highly portable. On the robot side, the video conferencing software is integrated in ROS for efficient operation.

3.3 Capabilities

In order to provide assisted control and augmented telepresence, the robot is able to perform SLAM (simultaneous localization and mapping) to build a map of its environment, see Figure 2 for an example. This map is used subsequently for localization and autonomous navigation, and can be annotated by the user for convenience. Obstacle avoidance is implemented using a range of sensors, which provides assistance during manual operation. People and face tracking can be used for more natural interaction with other humans.




Fig. 2: Office map build by MITRO using SLAM.

4 Demonstration

We invite people to engage in a hands-on experience with our MITRO telepresence platform. A laptop computer running the client-side control interface and video-conferencing application allows the user to steer the robot around, take part in conversations and test the assisted control. Additional information (such as an annotated map) is also available. Furthermore, we will demonstrate autonomous drive to a chosen location (e.g. charging station) and people tracking.

5 System requirements for the demo

The system can run independently from any network, using a standalone wireless router. Only a power plug is required to power the router and charge the robot.

The demo can be run continuously without any strict time requirement, though we advice to plan for 5-10 minutes for a hands-on experience per participant.

References

1. Sjriek Alers, Daan Bloembergen, Daniel Hennes, and Karl Tuyls. Augmented mobile telepresence with assisted control. In *Proceedings of the 23rd Benelux Conference on Artificial Intelligence (BNAIC 2011)*, 2011.
2. Daniel A. Lazewatsky and William D. Smart. An inexpensive robot platform for teleoperation and experimentation. In *Proceedings of the 2011 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA 2011)*, 2011.
3. Morgan Quigley, Brian Gerkey, Ken Conley, Josh Faust, Tully Foote, Jeremy Leibs, Eric Berger, Rob Wheeler, and Andrew Y. Ng. ROS: An open-source Robot Operating System. In *Proceedings of the Open-Source Software workshop at the International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, 2009.
4. T.B. Sheridan. Telerobotics. *Automatica*, 25(4):487 – 507, 1989.
5. Leila Takayama, Eitan Marder-Eppstein, Helen Harris, and Jenay M. Beer. Assisted driving of a mobile remote presence system: System design and controlled user evaluation. In *Proceedings of the 2011 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA 2011)*, 2011.
6. Katherine M. Tsui, Munjal Desai, Holly A. Yanco, and Chris Uhlik. Exploring use cases for telepresence robots. In *Proceedings of the 6th ACM/IEEE International Conference on Human-Robot Interaction*, 2011.